

Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application as amended herein. Claims 68 and 94 have been amended. Claims 69 and 95 have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 106-147 have been withdrawn from consideration. Thus, claims 68, 70-80, 94 and 96-105 are pending.

Claims Withdrawn From Consideration

Applicants acknowledge that claims 106-147 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections

Claims 68-80 and 94-105 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,631,977 issued to Koshi, et al. (*Koshi*). Claims 69 and 95 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of claims 69 and 95 is moot. For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 68, 70-80, 94 and 96-105 are not anticipated by *Koshi*.

The Office Action states:

Regarding claim 68, Koshi et al disclose method which includes steps of: identifying a target device to receive data in an embedded codestream (see Fig. 1 items 2, 3; col. 6, lines 1-31; Fig. 15); decoding each bit-plane to provide data to the target device and truncating each bit-plane in the embedded codestream for data necessary to support the target device (see Fig. 1, items 3, 4; Fig. 6; col. 5, lines 48-65; Fig. 14, 15; col. 11, lines 19-67).

See page 3.

However, the cited passages of *Koshi* disclose *encoding* of image data. The input image data is truncated *prior* to encoding. The truncation is determined based on desired resolution or tone levels.

In contrast, claim 68 recites:

decoding each bit-plane to provide data to the target device by truncating each bit-plane in the embedded codestream for data necessary to support the target device, wherein each bit-plane is truncated based on an indication in each coding unit denoting a location where truncation may occur.

Thus, Applicants claim *decoding* an embedded codestream having bit-planes. Each coding unit includes an indication of a location where each *decoded* bit-plane can be truncated. Claim 94 recites similar limitations.

As noted above *Koshi* discloses truncation related to *encoding* of image data. Moreover, *Koshi* does not disclose an indication within the embedded codestream that denotes a location where truncation may occur. Therefore, *Koshi* does not anticipate the invention as claimed in claims 68 and 94.

Claims 70-80 depend from claim 68. Claims 96-105 depend from claim 94. Therefore, Applicants submit that claims 70-80 and 96-105 are not anticipated by *Koshi* for at least the reasons set forth above.

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the rejections have been overcome. Therefore, claims 68-80 and 94-105 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the

Application No. 09/499,255
Amendment dated October 1, 2003
Response to Office Action of October 22, 2002

Atty. Docket No. 074451.P024XD
Examiner P. Tran
TC/A.U. 2621

undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: Oct 1, 2003

Paul A. Mendonsa
Paul A. Mendonsa
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 42,879

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(503) 684-6200

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on:

1 OCTOBER 2003
Date of Deposit
DEBORAH L. HIGHAM
Name of Person Mailing Correspondence
[Signature] 10/01/03
Signature Date